UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,307	09/26/2002	Edward Ingenito	10991-002US1	1962
2000	7590 02/21/2007 FEIELD & SACKS PC		EXAMINÉR	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
600 ATLANTION BOSTON, MA	•	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011,1111			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		. 02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/069,307	INGENITO, EDWARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine S. Williams	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-34,55-58 and 60-73</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-34,55-58,60-63,67,69-70 and 72 and 60</u> ☐ Claim(s) <u>64,65,68,71 and 73</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>12 and 66</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. e_ is/are allowed.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/069,307

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 12 recites "claim I 1" in line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 64-65,68 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perkins et al (6,287,290).

Perkins discloses methods, systems and kits for lung volume reduction that includes advancing a bronchoscope (see 8:18+) and introducing biological material to reduce the volume of the lung (see 10:37+). The method includes blocking air flow (9:19+). The collapsed region may be over inflated prior to collapsing the region (9:33). An oxygen rich gas can be introduced prior to collapse (see 6:59+ and 9:45). The collapsed region will be sealed by methods including using a plug of hydrated collagen hydrogel (biological material for promoting fibrosis and

increasing surface tension), gluing (including fibrin see 2:39) and energy-mediated tissue adhesion, etc (10:37-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkins et al in view of Edwardson et al (US 5,739,288).

Perkins meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to disclose the use of fibrinogen and a fibrinogen activator such as thrombin.

Edwardson et al disclose a fibrin sealant composition that can be used for sealing tracheal and bronchial anastomoses and air leaks or lacerations of the lung (promoting fibrosis) that includes fibrinogen, thrombin, clot promoting factor XIIIa and antibiotics. Since the invention of Perkins is drawn to closing a region of the lung by gluing tissue (see Perkins 10:40) and Edwardson teaches a composition to enhance the closure of leaks or laceration of the lung (i.e. a tissue sealant) a combination is proper. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use the fibrin sealant of Edwardson et al in order to provide an enhanced fibrin formulation for tissue closure thereby improving patient recovery time.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/06 regarding claim 64 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the previous office action did not cite the promotion of fibrosis. However, the previous office action cited hydrated collagen hydrogel for promoting fibrosis. See columen 10 lines 37+.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-34,55-58,60-63,67,69-70 and 72 are allowed.

Claim 66 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/069,307

Art Unit: 3763

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 5

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571/2724970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571/27249773552. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular

communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571/2722975.

Catherine S. Williams

Orthui S. William

February 20, 2007

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER